Emulation of Cosmic-Ray Antideuteron Fluxes from Dark Matter Annihilation

Based on ArXiv: 2406.18642

Lena Rathmann

TeVPA Conference 2024

In collaboration with Jan Heisig, Michael Korsmeier, Michael Krämer and Kathrin Nippel

26.08.2024

Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology
RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY
Collaborative Research Center TRR 257
P3H
Particle Physics Phenomenology after the Higgs Discovery

Why Antideuterons?

- Antimatter can be produced in dark matter annihilations
- Background from interactions of cosmic rays negligible at low energies for antinuclei but not for antiparticles
- New GAPS experiment & AMS-02 can detect low energy antinuclei




DM
DM
BSM Physics
$\bar{d}$
Where do Antideuterons come from?
GAPS


DM
DM
BSM Physics
$\bar{d}$
q, c, b, t, W, Z, H, g
DM
DM
SM
SM
Jet
Hadronization
$\bar{p}$
$\bar{n}$
Coalescence
$\bar{d}$
$p, n, \pi, \ldots$
Production
GAPS

Production: Coalescence Mechanism



- Coalescence momentum p_c, determined from experiment

Fornengo+ [1306.4171]

Production: Coalescence Mechanism



- Coalescence momentum p_c, determined from experiment
- Match number of antideuterons from simulated hadronic Z-decays to amount measured by LEP
- Spatial separation smaller than 2 fm



Fornengo+ [1306.4171]

Antideuterons from $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ Decay



Prompt | Displaced vertex

- $m_{\bar{\Lambda}_b} = 5.6$ GeV → decays into particles with small relative momenta → boosts $\bar{d}$ production

Winkler, Linden [2006.16251]

Antideuterons from $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ Decay



Prompt | Displaced vertex

- $m_{\bar{\Lambda}_b} = 5.6$ GeV → decays into particles with small relative momenta → boosts $\bar{d}$ production
- Rescale $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ production in PYTHIA to match measurement of transition ratio $f(b \to \Lambda_b)$ with extra parameter $r_{\Lambda_b} \approx 3$



Winkler, Linden [2006.16251]

Propagation
DM
DM
BSM Physics
$\overline{d}$
Galactic Propagation
Solar Modulation
GAPS

Antideuteron Propagation

- Use diffusion break and injection break models following Korsmeier, Cuoco [2112.08381]
- Use propagation tool GALPROP
- Implement secondary and tertiary $\bar{d}$ with analytic coalescence model



Speed-up Antideuteron Simulation

m_{DM}
θ_{coal}
B_f
θ_{prop}





Speed-up Antideuteron Simulation



Neural Network

- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) use output of particular layer as input of the same layer → can account for correlations between energy bins



Neural Network

- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) use output of particular layer as input of the same layer → can account for correlations between energy bins
- Similar to Kahlhoefer et al. [2107.12395] and Balan et al. [2303.07362]
- Relative error of network $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$



Network available in

https://github.com/kathrinnp/DarkRayNet

Prediction of Sensitivity Factor

- Generate fluxes for set of propagation parameters $\{\theta_{\mathrm{prop},i}\}$ sampled from posterior of $p, \bar{p}$ and He fit
- Apply force-field approximation to account for solar modulation



Prediction of Sensitivity Factor

- Generate fluxes for set of propagation parameters $\{\theta_{\text{prop},i}\}$ sampled from posterior of $p, \bar{p}$ and He fit

- Apply force-field approximation to account for solar modulation

- Marginalize over $\{\theta_{\text{prop},i}\}$:

$$\langle \Phi_{\bar{d}} \rangle = \frac{\sum_i \Phi_{\bar{d},i} \frac{\mathscr{L}_{\text{DM}}(\theta_{\text{prop},i}, x_{\text{DM}})}{\mathscr{L}(\theta_{\text{prop},i})}}{\sum_i \frac{\mathscr{L}_{\text{DM}}(\theta_{\text{prop},i}, x_{\text{DM}})}{\mathscr{L}(\theta_{\text{prop},i})}}$$

- Calculate sensitivity factor: $\frac{\langle \Phi_{\bar{d}} \rangle}{\Phi_{\text{exp.}}}$



Sensitivity DIFF.BRK, Annihilation in $b\bar{b}$



➡ Assuming $\bar{p}$ limit, sensitivity only to small DM masses

➡ GAPS independent test to AMS-02

$\bar{p}$ limit from Balan et al. [2303.07362]

Conclusion

- Antideuterons are great for indirect detection because of negligible background
- Predicted fluxes of antideuterons on Earth for varying DM models including uncertainties from antideuteron production
- Calculating fluxes is slow → trained Neural Network DarkRayNet, available on GitHub, can be used for arbitrary DM models
- Obtained sensitivity factor for AMS-02 and GAPS
- AMS-02 and GAPS only sensitive to low DM masses if DM annihilates into $b\bar{b}$

https://github.com/kathrinnp/DarkRayNet

Conclusion

- Antideuterons are great for indirect detection because of negligible background
- Predicted fluxes of antideuterons on Earth for varying DM models including uncertainties from antideuteron production
- Calculating fluxes is slow → trained Neural Network DarkRayNet, available on GitHub, can be used for arbitrary DM models
- Obtained sensitivity factor for AMS-02 and GAPS
- AMS-02 and GAPS only sensitive to low DM masses if DM annihilates into $b\bar{b}$

https://github.com/kathrinnp/DarkRayNet

Thank you!

Backup Slides

Antideuteron Injection Spectra

- Generated spectra for $m_{\rm DM} = 100\,\rm GeV$ using MadDM and Pythia 8.2
- Include $\bar{d}$ produced at initial vertex and through Λ_b decay
- Compare to PPPC4DMID [1012.4515] (used Pythia 8.1)



Network Architecture



Network Performance

DIFF.BRK model



INJ.BRK model



- Relative difference of most transformed fluxes at most 6×10^{-4}
- Translates to relative error of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ in the actual flux

Prediction of Sensitivity Factor



- $\{\theta_{prop,i}\}$: posterior sample of propagation parameters from $p, \bar{p}$ and He fit
- pbarlike [2303.07362]: antiproton likelihood calculator
- Solar modulation: force-field approximation, solar potential depends on experiment
- Marginalization: $\sum_i \Phi^{TOA}_{\bar{d},i} \frac{\mathscr{L}_{DM}(\theta_{prop,i}, x_{DM})}{\mathscr{L}(\theta_{prop,i})}$
- Sensitivity factor: $\frac{\langle\Phi_{\bar{d}}\rangle}{\Phi_{exp.}}$

Experimental Sensitivities

Experiment	Energy range [GeV/nuc]	$\Phi_{\mathrm{sens},E_{\mathrm{exp}}}$ $[\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\,\mathrm{sr}^{-1}\,(\mathrm{GeV/nuc})^{-1}]$	
GAPS	$[0.05, 0.25]$	2×10^{-6}	GAPS Collaboration [1506.02513]
AMS-02	$[0.2,\ 0.8]$ and $[2.2,\ 4.2]$	4.5×10^{-7}	Choutko, Giovacchini [ICRC 2008]

Propagation Parameters & Priors

Parameters	Priors	DIFF.BRK	INJ.BRK
$\gamma_{1,p}$	$1.2 - 2.1$	✓	✓
γ_1	$1.2 - 2.1$	✓	✓
$\gamma_{2,p}$	$2.1 - 2.6$	✓	✓
γ_2	$2.1 - 2.6$	✓	✓
R_0 [GV]	$1.0 - 20$	✗	✓
s	$0.1 - 0.7$	✗	✓
D_0 [10^{28} cm^2/s]	$0.5 - 10.0$	✓	✓
δ_l	$-1.0 - 0.5$	✓	✓
δ	$0.3 - 0.7$	✓	✓
$\delta_h - \delta$	$-0.2 - 0.0$	✓	✓
$R_{D,0}$ [GV]	$1.0 - 20.0$	✓	✗
s_D	$0.1 - 0.9$	✓	✗
$R_{D,1}$ [10^3]	$100 - 500$	✓	✓
v_A [km/s]	$0 - 30$	✗	✓
$v_{0,c}$ [km/s]	$0 - 60$	✓	✓

Singlet Scalar Higgs Portal

- SM extended by gauge-singlet real scalar
- Portal coupling to Higgs fixed to explain measured relic abundance



Sensitivity INJ.BRK





SSHP Sensitivity AMS-02





SSHP Sensitivity GAPS





Analytic Coalescence Model

- Assume uncorrelated $\bar{p}, \bar{n}$ distributions

$$\frac{dN_d}{dx_d} = \frac{p_0^3}{3M^2 m_p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_d^2 + 4m_p x_d/M}} \frac{dN_p}{dx_p} \frac{dN_n}{dx_n}$$

Kadastik+ [0908.1578]



$\bar{p}$ Limit



Limits for DM annihilation into $b\bar{b}$, from Balan et al. [2303.07362]