Modeling the refractive index profile of polar ice with the Askaryan Radio Array (ARA)

Kenny Couberly

ARA Collaboration, University of Kansas

August 28, 2024



Ultra-high energy neutrino (UHEN) experiments rely on a depth dependent refractive index (n(z)) for calibration and simulations.

- n(z) affects propagation paths through the ice, determining effective volume via a shadow zone
- ARA includes 5 stations that receive signal from a single deep pulser source
- We compare results of two n(z) models to ARA data
 - single exponential
 - 3 stage exponential

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

- ARA consists of 5 stations (typical station shown right)
- 4 strings each contain 4 antennas ranging 170-200m in depth
 - 2 Hpol and 2 Vpol antennas per string
- Calibration antennas used to calibrate antenna positions and test source reconstruction



3

イロト イヨト イヨト

Sorge's Law [1]

- Given constant snow accumulation and temperature, snow density $\rho(z,t)$ is constant for a given depth.
- $\rho(z)$ and therefore n(z) are modeled as functions of depth Density as a function of depth is modeled similar to an exponential scale height model.

$$\rho(z) = \rho_f - b_0 e^{-Cz}$$

where ρ_f is the density of pure ice, $\rho_f - b_0$ is the density of snow at the surface, and C is a proportionality constant describing the densification rate.

3 stage exponential model

- Glaciology studies ice densification [3], [4] occurs in 3 stages
 - snow
 - firn
 - bubbly ice
- Density boundary conditions
 - 550 kg/m³ from previous empirical fits to polar density data [3]
 - 758 kg/m^3 fit to compiled greenland density data (shown right).



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Conversion from ice density to n(z)

• Study of specific gravity vs dielectric constant of ice in the McMurdo ice shelf suggests a linear relationship between density and index of refraction [2]

The following equation describes the relation

$$n(z) = 1 + A\rho$$

where A is a proportionality constant and ρ is the specific gravity.

- A = 0.845 from the McMurdo study
- Data drawn from a specific gravity range of 0.2-0.8 (snow/firn region)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 一日

Applying conversion to ice density data

- Single exponential and 3 stage models
 - C_1 and C_2 fit to SPICE density data, C_3 fit to station A2 deep pulser data (see slide 12).
 - Single exponential fit to A2 data
- Follows density boundary conditions
 - 20.5m: snow to firm
 - 96.6m: firn to bubbly ice



Figure: Allison *et al* (2020), Kravchenko I, Besson D, Meyers J. (2004)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

A2 n(z) models

Single exponential model:

$$n(z) = 1.78 - 0.45e^{-0.0135z}$$

3 stage parameterization:

$$z < 20.5m : n(z) = 1.78 - 0.45e^{-0.0148z}$$

 $20.5m \le z < 96.6m : n(z) = 1.78 - 0.33e^{-0.0114(z-20.5)}$

$$z \ge 96.6m : n(z) = 1.78 - 0.14e^{-0.0290(z - 96.6)}$$

August 28, 2024 8 / 21

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Kenny Couberly (KU)

Simulated dt(D,R) timing differences

- Maxwell's equations admit two ray propagation solutions from source to receiver
- The two D and R ray paths are simulated using numerical ray tracer RadioPropa for a given n(z) model
- After calculating the travel time for each ray path, the simulated dt(D,R) is compared to measured values
- Example simulated ray paths from a 1400m deep pulser shown right



Direct and Refracted timing difference data (dt(D,R))

- Observed waveforms from deep pulser sources show two signals
- Signals correspond to the direct (D) and refracted (R) ray propagation paths
- Measured timing differences taken using the leading edges of the D and R signals
- dt(D,R) taken channel by channel minimizes additional sources of uncertainty



A ID IN A (ID IN A)

Snow accumulation

- Comparison of 2015, 2018, and 2022 DP signals to A3 show how snow accumulation affects dt(D,R)
- Accounts for years between deployment and SPICE pulsing runs
- \pm 0.85 ns statistical uncertainty among channels



A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

SPICE dt(D,R) data

- Transmitter lowered into SPICE bolehole during 2018 season.
- Emitted signal at 1 pps as it was lowered and raised
- Data over depth range of 700-1300m





Figure: Allison et al (2020)

Kenny Couberly (KU)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日

n(z) Model Results - Station A3



Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024 13/21

n(z) Model Results - Station A4



Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024 14/21

n(z) Model Results - A5



Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024 15 / 21

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Shadow Zone Range

- dt(D,R) decreases the further distance between source and receiver
- As dt(D,R) approaches zero, bending of possible paths results in signal not reaching receiver
- Region with lack of signal known as the shadow zone
- Shadow zone differs for different n(z) models, suggesting the simple exponential model underestimates effective volume



Measured dt(D,R) from the pulser lowered into SPICE borehole support a 3 stage exponential over single stage exponential n(z) models

- Single exponential n(z) model deviates from measured data as either source depth or source distance changes relative to initial fit
- Comparison to the 3 stage model suggests that a simple exponential overestimates n(z) in the firm region (20.6-96.6m) and underestimates n(z) for depths greater than 100m

Improved n(z) model helps provide a more accurate effective volume and aid in calibration efforts for UHEN experiments in both Greenland and South Pole

References

- Henri Bader. Sorge's law of densification of snow on high polar glaciers. Journal of Glaciology, 2(15):319–323, 1954.
- Kovacs, A., Gow, A., Morey, R. (1994). The in-situ dielectric constant of polar firm revisited. Cold Regions Science and Technology, 23, 245-256.
- Michael M Herron and Chester C Langway. Firn densification: an empirical model. Journal of Glaciology, 25 (93):373–385, 1980.
- Andrey N Salamatin, Vladimir Ya Lipenkov, and Paul Duval. Bubbly-ice densification in ice sheets: I. theory. Journal of Glaciology, 43(145):387–396, 1997.
- Kravchenko I, Besson D, Meyers J. In situ index-of-refraction measurements of the South Polar firn with the RICE detector. Journal of Glaciology. 2004;50(171):522-532.
- P Allison, S Archambault, JJ Beatty, DZ Besson, CC Chen, CH Chen, P Chen, A Christenson, BA Clark, W Clay, et al. Long-baseline horizontal radio-frequency transmission through polar ice. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2020(12):009, 2020.

Kenny Couberly (KU)

Backup Slides

Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024 19 / 21

2

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

3 stage density fit to greenland density data



Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024

20/21

2 stage density fit



Kenny Couberly (KU)

August 28, 2024 21 / 21