



The energy spectrum of ultra-high energy cosmic rays measured using the Pierre Auger Observatory



Chicago 2024

Fiona Ellwanger for the Pierre Auger Collaboration – (spokespersons@auger.org)



PIERRE
AUGER
OBSERVATORY

Deriving a spectrum

→ Event rate N_i

→ Derive exposure ϵ from detector geometry, event selection and measurement time

→ Energy bin width ΔE

→ **Raw spectrum:**

$$J_i^{\text{raw}} = \frac{N_i}{\epsilon \Delta E'}$$

→ **Forward-folding:**

- Account for the finite resolution κ of the energy reconstruction (forward folding)


$$J^{\text{raw}} = \frac{\int d\Omega \cos \theta \int dE \epsilon \kappa \mathbf{J}}{\int d\Omega \cos \theta},$$

- Model the spectrum by a sequence of power laws with soft transitions:

$$J(E) = J_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_0} \right)^{-\gamma_1} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[1 + \left(\frac{E}{E_{ij}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\omega_{ij}}} \right]^{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) \omega_{ij}} \quad J_i = c_i J_i^{\text{raw}}$$


Motivation

- The energy spectrum of cosmic rays in 2000
- Large uncertainties at the highest energies
- GZK cutoff or source exhaustion???



1 particle per m^2 year


1 particle per km^2 year



Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 689 (2000)


The Pierre Auger Observatory

- 1400m altitude
- 3000 km²
- Designed to detect secondary particles of extensive air showers
- Hybrid detector layout
 - Fluorescence Detector
 - Surface Detector




The Fluorescence Detector

- 24 telescopes
- 4 buildings
- 3 additional High Elevation Auger Telescopes to decrease the energy threshold
- ~15% duty cycle
- Measure isotropic fluorescence emission in atmosphere
- Lower energy threshold for Cherenkov radiation






The Fluorescence Detector

- 24 telescopes
- 4 buildings
- 3 additional High Elevation Auger Telescopes to decrease the energy threshold
- ~15% duty cycle
- Measure isotropic fluorescence emission in atmosphere
- Lower energy threshold for Cherenkov radiation





The Fluorescence Detector

- 24 telescopes
 - 4 buildings
 - 3 additional High Elevation Auger Telescopes to decrease the energy threshold
 - ~15% duty cycle
 - **Geometry constrained by single triggered SD station**
-
- Integral gives calorimetric energy
 - Corrected for “invisible” energy (μ , ν) ~10% (data-driven)
 - Shower maximum in field of view
 - Exposure derived from simulations



The Hybrid Spectrum

- 01/2007 - 12/2017
- ~15 000 events
- Zenith: 0-60°
- Energy threshold: 10^{18} eV



Eur. Phys. J. Plus 127, 87 (2012)


The Surface Detector (SD)

- >1600 water-Cherenkov detector stations
- triangular grid
- 3 arrays with different densities
 - 1500 m spacing
 - 750 m spacing
 - 433 m spacing
- 100% duty-cycle
- Calibrated with atmospheric muons



The Surface Detector (SD)



- 1600 water-Cherenkov detector stations
- triangular grid
- 3 arrays with different densities
 - 1500 m spacing
 - 750 m spacing
 - 433 m spacing
- 100% duty-cycle
- Calibrated with atmospheric muons



The Surface Detector (SD)

- Reconstruction based on lateral distribution of signals
- Correction for attenuation depending on inclination
- Energy calibration with “golden” hybrid events
 - Uncertainty of the energy scale: ~14%
- Purely geometric exposure at full efficiency



[PRD 102, 062005 \(2020\)](#)



The Surface Detector (SD)

→ Zenith angle ranges

- SD 750: 0° - 55° 'vertical'
- SD 1500: 0° - 60° 'vertical'
- SD 1500: 60° - 80° 'inclined'



● Depth of Malargüe site (870 g/cm^2)



The SD 1500 Spectrum

→ 01/2004 - 08/2018

→ ~215 000 events

→ Zenith: 0 – 60°

→ Energy threshold: $10^{18.4}$ eV





PRD 102, 062005 (2020)

The SD 1500 Inclined Spectrum

- 01/2004 - 08/2018
- ~24 000 events
- Zenith: 60 – 80°
- Energy threshold: $10^{18.6}$ eV



- Large attenuation
- Dominated by muon component
- Geomagnetic effects



JCAP 08, 049 (2015)

The SD 750 Spectrum

- 01/2014 - 08/2018
- ~545 000 events
- Zenith: 0 – 40°
- Energy threshold: 10^{17} eV



Eur. Phys. J. 81, 966 (2021)



The SD 433 Spectrum

→ 01/2018 - 12/2021

→ ~50 000 events

→ Zenith: 0 – 45°


→ Energy threshold: $10^{16.8}$ eV



PoS(ICRC2023)398

The combined spectrum


- Forward-folding approach
- Estimate uncorrelated **systematic uncertainties**
 - Changes of exposure $\delta\epsilon$
 - Changes in energy calibration $\delta A, \delta B$
- Combined likelihood fit
 - Correction factors for each spectrum
 - $(\Delta\epsilon, \delta A, \delta B)$
- Combined spectrum
 - Effective exposure
 - Weighted sum of number of events



PoS(ICRC21)324

The combined spectrum

- Forward-folding approach
- Estimate uncorrelated **systematic uncertainties**
 - Changes of exposure $\delta\varepsilon$
 - Changes in energy calibration $\delta A, \delta B$
- Combined likelihood fit
 - Correction factors for each spectrum
 - $(\Delta\varepsilon, \delta A, \delta B)$
- Combined spectrum
 - Effective exposure
 - Weighted sum of number of events



PoS(ICRC21)324


The combined spectrum

Possible explanations:

- Maximum energy of acceleration of the heaviest nuclei for galactic sources
- Transition from galactic to extragalactic sources

More about **arrival directions**:
Joao de Mello Neto [Today 4:15 PM]

More about **mass composition**:
Miguel Martins [Today 4:35 PM]




$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ knee} \quad E_{12} &= (1.58 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5 \text{ TeV} \\ \gamma_2 &= 3.283 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.10 \end{aligned}$$

PoS(ICRC21)324
PRL 125, 121106 (2020)
JCAP 05, 024 (2023)

The combined spectrum

Possible explanations:

- Below: lighter composition with soft spectrum
- Above: mixed composition with harder spectrum




ankle $E_{23} = (5.0 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^6 \text{ TeV}$
 $\gamma_3 = 2.54 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05$

PoS(ICRC21)324
PRL 125, 121106 (2020)

The combined spectrum

Possible explanations:

→ Interplay between flux contributions of helium and carbon-nitrogen-oxygen




instep $E_{34} = (1.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7 \text{ TeV}$
 $\gamma_4 = 3.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.10$

PoS(ICRC21)324
PRL 125, 121106 (2020)

The combined spectrum

Possible explanations:

- Maximum energy of acceleration of the heaviest nuclei
- Propagation effects (GZK, ...)




suppression $E_{45} = (4.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^7 \text{ TeV}$
 $\gamma_5 = 5.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$

PoS(ICRC21)324
PRL 125, 121106 (2020)

Outlook

→ Combined fit with source models



More about **AugerPrime**:
Natalia Borodai
[Today 4:50 PM]

→ Combined spectrum for all Auger phase I data

→ Improved triggers lower the energy thresholds

→ Detector upgrades for phase II enhance

- composition sensitivity (scintillation detectors)
- dynamic range (new electronics + small PMT)
- calorimetric energy scale accuracy (radio)
- Underground muon detectors

