Preparing simulations for the precision era of dark matter cosmology

Annika Peter The Ohio State University

N-Body Shop https://astro.washington.edu/n-body-shop

Many probes of dark matter in the cosmos

A (the?) challenge

Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine @ KITP, via Elisabeth Krause

Wright+ 2024 Drlica-Wagner+ 2019

Strategy

A process in six parts, following this white Snowmass white paper:

Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier White Paper: Cosmological Simulations for Dark Matter Physics

Arka Banerjee^{1,2,†}, Kimberly K. Boddy³, Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine⁴, Adrienne L. Erickcek⁵, Daniel Gilman⁶, Vera Gluscevic⁷, Stacy Kim⁸, Benjamin V. Lehmann^{9,10}, Yao-Yuan Mao¹¹, Philip Mocz¹², Ferah Munshi^{13,†}, Ethan O. Nadler^{14,7}, Lina Necib¹⁵, Aditya Parikh¹⁶, Annika H. G. $Peter^{17,\dagger}$, Laura Sales¹⁸, Mark Vogelsberger¹⁵, and Anna C. Wright¹⁹

Most examples focus on Self-Interacting Dark Matter

1. Close collaboration between simulators and particle theorists

- What particle dark matter models should we focus on?
- How to connect to measurements from laboratory experiments, for self-consistent constraints on model parameters?
- How do we translate the microphysics of particle models to the macroscopic scales that matter for cosmology?

1. Example: which SIDM cross section matters in simulation?

2. Algorithm development and code comparison tests So, you have a new dark matter model to test…

Is for code optimized for your problem? Is your simulation giving accurate results? …at the resolution you need for your problem? …and how do you know?

Are the results robust to baryons?

Are your analysis tools picking up everything they should?

NOTE: we still worry about ALL of these things for the "vanilla" case of CDM.

2. Example: core collapse in SIDM From low to high density

See also Palubski+ 2024, Fischer+ 2024

3. Performing simulations with full hydrodynamics with validated subgrid models and numerical resolution

Vogelsberger+ 2020

3. Performing simulations with full hydrodynamics with validated subgrid models and numerical resolution

4. Analysis of outputs in the realm of observations

5. Fast realizations of observables for inference of DM properties The model space is huge, and the target space is diverse.

How do we sample enough of the former, and have adequate representations (for some very different applications) for the
latter?

A. Reduce cost of individual simulations (or individual realizations)

B. Reduce the number of simulations used in each analysis

A. Reduce cost of individual simulations (or realizations)

Controlled simulations

Zeng+ in prep., see also Zeng+ 2023

A. Reduce cost of individual simulations (or realizations)

B. Reduce the number of simulations used in each analysis

Emulators, ML?

reconstruction of the given property that is then projected onto two dimensions: total matter density, M_{TOT} ; dark matter density, M_{DM} ; neutral hydrogen gas density, HI; electron number density, ne; baryonic gas density, MGAS; gas temperature, T; gas pressure, P; dark matter velocity modulus (speed), V_{DM} ; stellar mass density, M_{STAR} ; gas metallicity, Z; and magnetic field strength, B. Each row represents a projection from a different simulation taken randomly from the suite. Each image covers a $25 \times 25 \times 5$ h^{-1} Mpc volume projected along the short axis.

DREAMS; Rose+ 2024

6. Identifying novel signatures from simulations and guidance to observers

Simulations as mediators

Wright+ 2024 Drlica-Wagner+ 2019