Optical Cluster Cosmology through Redshift Space Distortion

Tomomi Sunayama (ASIAA)

Clusters as a cosmological probe

- * Count the number of clusters (as a function of halo mass)
- Tail of halo mass function (i.e., number of clusters) is sensitive to cosmological parameters

With Dark Energy



Without Dark Energy



Virgo consortium

Challenge in Cluster Cosmology

- Cosmic Visions Report (2016): "The number of massive galaxy clusters could emerge as the most powerful cosmological probe if the masses of the clusters can be accurately measured."
- Cluster mass is not a direct observable
- * Optical clusters are known to be susceptible to many systematics



Recipe for Optical Cluster Cosmology







How much information does cluster clustering provide?

* Constraints on Ω_m and σ_8 are improved by 45% and 23%, respectively.



Photometric Surveys: Now and Future



Inspired by E. Krause

Ise Credit: ESO, Fermilab/Reidar Hahn, NAOJ, ESA/C. Carreau, Rubin Obs/NSF/AURA, NASA

Arai et al (incl. TS),2023

Roadmap of Spectroscopic Galaxy Surveys



Credit: SDSS, NOIRLab, NAOJ, ESA/C. Carreau, NASA

What information can 3D clustering provide?

- * Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAOs)
- Redshift-Space Distortion (RSD)





Reid+2014

What information can 3D clustering provide?

- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAOs)
- Redshift-Space Distortion (RSD)



$$cz = H_0 r + v_{\text{pec}}$$
Redshift
"What we measure"
$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Expansion} \\ \text{of the} \\ \text{Universe} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Motion of} \\ \text{Galaxies} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|v_{\text{pec}}| \sim \frac{d\sigma_8}{d\ln a} = f\sigma_8 \approx \Omega_m^{\gamma} \sigma_8$$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{v}_{\text{pec}}}$$

$$\delta_g^{(s)}(k, \mu) = (b + f\mu^2) \delta_m^{(r)}(k)$$

RSD can further improve cosmological constraints

- * Constraints on Ω_m and σ_8 are improved by 33% and 15% respectively.
- * RSD alone can improve the precisions δ of Ω_m and σ_8 by 60% and 40% respectively.



How does projection effects bias the result?

• Misidentification of member galaxies along the line-of-sight



The projection effect alters the mass-richness relation!

Projection effects beyond Mass-Richness Relation

* The boost on two-halo term cannot be explained by mass difference!



What is the cause of this boost on large scales?

Distribution of clusters is anisotropic

- Cluster finder preferentially identify clusters on aligned filaments along LOS as clusters
- Preferential selection of aligned structure is the cause of the anisotropic distribution of clusters (and therefore boost on lensing and clustering amplitudes)



Modeling Projection Effects



Model the excess mass as a multiplicative factor

 $\Pi(R) = \begin{cases} \Pi_0(R/R_0) & \text{for } R \le R_0, \\ \Pi_0 + c \ln(R/R_0) & \text{for } R > R_0. \end{cases}$

And treat it as effective biases $\Sigma(R) = \Pi(R)\Sigma^{iso}(R),$ $w_p(R) = \Pi^2(R)w_p^{iso}(R).$

Park,TS+2022

Projection effects can be modeled

* The projection effect model can correct the cosmology constraints for the case of lensing and projected correlation functions.



Projection effects on 3D clustering

 Projection effects will alter the 3D correlation functions in a more complicated manner...



Projection effects can bias the constraints in a different way

* Using 3D clustering in the presence of projection effects can bias cosmological constraints, in particular σ_8 !



Summary/Future Work

- * Combining cluster clustering to cluster abundance and lensing can improve the constraints on Ω_m and σ_8 by 45% and 23%
- * Additional information from RSD can improve Ω_m and σ_8 by 33% and 15% respectively
- * Projection effects can bias the constraints on Ω_m and σ_8