

Funded by the European Union

### What's next for the 50-m Atacama Large Aperture Submm Telescope?



Tony Mroczkowski

#### (European Southern Observatory → CSIC Institute of Space Sciences)

mm Universe 2025, 2025-06-27

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Research Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the European Research Executive Agency can be held responsible for them.

#### The landscape of current (sub-)mm single dish telescopes





Most >10-m facilities have a FoV limited to ~ 4-20 arcmin, apart from a few dedicated small aperture (6-10 meter), ~1-2 arcmin resolution survey telescopes (SPT, CCAT/FYST, SO) Further, no existing large (D > 15 m) aperture telescopes can observe  $v_{obs}$  > 350 GHz





### Completing our ability to map the multiwavelength sky



First light SKA-Low image (~25 sq. deg). Credit: https://www.skao.int/en/news/621/skalow-first-glimpse-universe

We can image (roughly) degree fields at a time across the sky with few arcsec resolution at every wavelength except the submm!



First light IR (0.75-5 micron) images from NASA's Spectro-Photometer for the History of the Universe, Epoch of Reionization, and Ices Explorer (SPHEREx). Credit: https://www.nasa.gov/missions/spherex/nasasspherex-takes-first-images-preps-to-study-millions-ofgalaxies/



Dark Energy Survey (DES) has a 2.2 deg wide instantaneous field of view. Credit: Dark Energy Survey/DOE/FNAL/DECam/CTIO/NOIRLab/NSF/AURA Acknowledgments: T.A. Rector (University of Alaska Anchorage/NSF NOIRLab), J. Miller, M. Zamani & D. de Martin (NSF NOIRLab)

al.



Chandra X-ray observatory mosaic of 16'x16'pointings on the Perseus Cluster. Credit: NASA/CXC/GSFC/ S.A.Walker, et https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/201 7/perseus/



3 x 3 CD "raft" 4K x 4K cience CCD with 16 output 3.5-degree field of view Corner area wavefrom sensing & guiding Angular size of the noon in comparisor

Rubin Observatory will cover 9.6 deg<sup>2</sup> per pointing Credit: https://directory.eoportal.org/other-spaceactivities/rubin-observatorv#focal-plane

> ALMA, < 1 arcmin field at 90 GHz, <10 arcsec field at frequencies >500 GHz. Credit: ESO/B. Tafreshi

### Completing our ability to map the multiwavelength sky



First light SKA-Low image (~25 sq. deg). Credit: https://www.skao.int/en/news/621/skalow-first-glimpse-universe

We can image (roughly) degree fields at a time across the sky with few arcsec resolution at every wavelength except the submm!



Chandra X-ray observatory mosaic of 16'x16'pointings on the Perseus Cluster. Credit: NASA/CXC/GSFC/ S.A.Walker, et al. https://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/201

<u>7/perseus/</u>





# Funded by the European Union AtLAST Technical Specifications

Parameter	Value		
Wavelength (λ) range	0.3 -10 mm		
Primary mirror (M1) diameter	50 m		
Secondary mirror (M2) diameter	12 m		
Field of view (FoV)	2°(1°)		
Number of instrument mount points	6		
Optical design	Ritchey-Chrétien		
Night(Day)-time half wavefront error	20 (30) μm		
Mechanical pointing accuracy	2.5 arcsec		
Astronomically-corrected pointing	< 0.5 arcsec		
Solar observations	Yes		
Scan speed	3°/s		
Acceleration	1°/s²		
Elevation (EL) range	20° to 90°		
Azimuthal (AZ) range	<u>+</u> 270°		
Mount type	AZ-EL		
Site location	22°58'52"S, 67°45'56"W		
Site elevation	≈5000 m		

AtLAST technical specifications (see <u>Mroczkowski et al. 2025</u>). The 50-meter primary mirror yields a

resolution of 1.5" at 350  $\mu$ m and 10" at 2 mm.

Fast scanning will allow recovery of large angular scales, ensuring high fidelity imaging (see <u>van Marrewijk et al.</u> 2024).

Mechanical pointing accuracy is before any astronomical pointing model corrections.

### Surface accuracy vs atmospheric transmission

- Plotted on the right: Ruze efficiency for 20 micron (blue solid, nighttime) and 30 micron (blue dashed, daytime) RMS surface errors (from Mroczkowski et al. 2025)
- Black and grey curves show the median and top quartile transmission.
- These are well matched surface accuracy will not be the limiting factor for high frequency observations.



### Near vs far-field effects

- Large aperture telescopes probe a larger column of atmosphere, with smaller separation from beam to beam, leaving the atmospheric signal more correlated (van Marrewijk, Morris, et al. 2024).
- New results from Thomas Morris show this large beam effectively low pass filters the atmosphere and lowers the atmospheric knee, enabling lower noise on large scales!







#### Combination of large aperture and FoV makes AtLAST unique



Using a figure of merit (FoM) defined in *Tools of Radio Astronomy* (Wilson, Huttemeister, Rohlfs) that is proportional to <u>mapping speed</u>, AtLAST is far above everything that has or will come before it.

### At last, the final SZ number counts plot of the workshop!

- Cluster count forecasts from Srini Raghunathan, appearing in the AtLAST SZ science case (Di Mascolo et al. 2025).
- Requires e.g. 170k detectors for a 5 year, 4k deg<sup>2</sup> survey.





**Figure 5.** Mass vs. redshift detection forecast for AtLAST assuming different survey strategies (covering 1000 deg<sup>2</sup>, 4000 deg<sup>2</sup>, and 20000 deg<sup>2</sup>, respectively, for a fixed survey time of 5 years) in comparison with next-generation wide-field millimeter surveys (Abazajian et al. 2016, Sehgal et al. 2019) and the eROSITA all-sky (X-ray) survey (Bulbul et al.



#### Funded by the European Union

### A more complete SZ view of clusters:





Funded by the European Union

8 hours on source for all simulations. Assumes 50k detectors at 90 GHz for AtLAST mock. See Di Mascolo et al. 2025

# Phase 1: AtLAST Design Study

- Officially ran from March 2021 to Aug 2024.
- Major accomplishments: 8 refereed science cases in Open Research Europe, publication of conceptual design (Reichert et al. 2024, Mroczkowski et al. 2025) and optical design concepts (Gallardo et al. 2024, Puddu et al. 2024), power generation concept (Viole et al. 2024), kinetic energy recovery (Kiselev et al. 2024), operations plan (Hatziminaoglou et al. AtLAST memo), extensive characterization of the site wind conditions (in prep including De Breuck and Otarola), instrumentation concepts outline (van Kampen AtLAST memo), observation simulators (van Marrewijk, Morris, et al. 2025) and much more.
- Importantly, this initial design study identified areas that require further development and study, and the new AtLAST2 development project (2025-2028) is working to address those.



# Design OverviewExposed Rocking Chair Design



### Large FOV and Multiple instruments

• Optical Design, unprecedented receiver cabin space





### **AtLAST receiver optics**

- **Focal plane has large size** (D=4.7m) and significant **curvature** but camera size is only a modest (~x2 diameter) scale up from that planned for CMB-S4
- Pato Gallardo (formerly here, now at U Penn) optimized the AtLAST optics and concepts for correction in the receivers.







# **AtLAST Beam properties**

- Puddu et al. 2024 (arXiv:2406.16602, SPIE proceedings) carried out extensive physical optics calculations.
- Simulating a 50meter up to 950 GHz took some clever tricks in **TICRA/GRASP** to make it manageable.
- Showing 900 GHz case (worst)



17

# **AtLAST Beam properties**

- Puddu et al. 2024 (arXiv:2406.16602, SPIE proceedings) carried out extensive physical optics calculations.
- Simulating a 50meter up to 950 GHz took some clever tricks in TICRA/GRASP to make it manageable.
- Showing 900 GHz case (worst)



# **AtLAST Beam properties**

- Puddu et al. 2024 (arXiv:2406.16602, SPIE proceedings) carried out extensive physical optics calculations.
- Simulating a 50meter up to 950 GHz took some clever tricks in TICRA/GRASP to make it manageable.
- Showing 900 GHz case (worst)



# AtLAST Beam: overall performance at 900 GHz

- Puddu et al. 2024 (arXiv:2406.16602, SPIE proceedings) carried out extensive physical optics calculations.
- Simulating a 50meter up to 950 GHz took some clever tricks in TICRA/GRASP to make it manageable.
- Showing 900 GHz case (worst)



# So... what now?

- AtLAST2 (<u>https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101188037</u>) is happening!
- AtLAST website details the work packages; see <a href="https://atlast-telescope.org/atlast2">https://atlast-telescope.org/atlast2</a>.
- We actively encourage instrument builders to join and help inform the instrumentation concepts that will achieve AtLAST's next-generation science goals. This is independent of region.
- We also encourage astronomers, astrophysicists, cosmologists, planetary scientists, and solar physicists to get involved in further defining the science cases (again, regardless of region).
- AtLAST has the potential to become the one mm/submm large aperture telescope we get in this generation. The next generation(s) need such a telescope to map the mm Universe, so let's make it happen.



# AtLAST2 work package overview:

- 1. Coordination, community engagement, and impacts
- 2. Design Review and first light instrument definitions
- 3. Telescope Technology Demonstrations
- 4. Operations and user experience
- 5. Energy and sustainability
- 6. Upgraded tools for science users
- 7. Testing with pathfinder telescopes



### Work package 2.1: Design Reviews

- Conceptual Design Review (CoDR):
  - CoDR assessed the AtLAST design from the phase I design study.
  - The main areas of CoDR were the concepts for the optical, structural, control systems, and materials.
  - The aim was to reveal any key areas in the telescope concept design that need improvement over the next 3 years. Fortunately, those identified were largely in sync with activities already undeway.
- Preliminary Design Review (PDR):
  - Near the end of AtLAST2, to ensure we are ready for the final engineering design phase.
  - Note that "PDR" has different meanings to different groups. We define it as the readiness review before final engineering and implementation details are fully worked out.



# Work package 2.2: first-light instrumentation

#### **Spectroscopic receivers**

- A 1000-pixel heterodyne focal plane array(FPA) providing high spectral resolution (dv < 1 km/s) to probe chemical complexity.
- 2. A direct-detection, ultra-wide bandwidth integral field unit (IFU) with R~300-1000 for galaxy evolution and tomography.
- 3. Single-beam, multi-frequency receiver for mmVLBI and EHT campaigns.
- 4. Perhaps a multi-object spectrograph (MOS), depending on science demand.

#### **Continuum cameras**

- "AtLAST Cam": a multi-chroic continuum camera comprising ~0.5 million detectors likely covering 8 bands (see Di Mascolo et al. 2025)
- Multi-chroic solar imager (see Kirkaune et al. 2025, submitted to OJAp).

Below: a compilation of submm detector counts vs year using a variety of technologies. Extrapolation suggests 0.5-megapixel cameras in the mid-2030s, and gigapixels by 2060 (e.g. a fully-populated IFU).



# Work package 3: maturing the metrology system and telescope design





M1 Shape Control via Etalons (laser metrology) M2 Position Control Etalons

**Alternative B** 



M1 Shape Control via Depth Sensors on thermal stable substructure M2 Position Control e.g. via Etalons Alternative C



Overall Wavefront Control via Microwave Interferometer in beam path (demonstrated on Nobeyama 45-m; see Tamura et al. 2022)

### WP3 + WP7: kinetic energy recovery system





- A simple modification to the standard drive system can recover >80% of the kinetic energy normally dissipated in braking!
- By pre-charging the supercapacitors, our system also offers power shavings.
- We will test this system on APEX and design a system for the 64-m SRT in this phase.



See Kiselev et al. (2024SPIE13094E..0EK)

150



# Backup slides!





#### Funded by the European Union

#### Summary of Technical Specifications and Instrument concepts for AtLAST

ATLAS

Parameter	Value		
Wavelength (λ) range	0.3 -10 mm		
Primary mirror (M1) diameter	50 m		
Secondary mirror (M2) diameter	12 m		
Field of view (FoV)	2°(1°)		
Number of instrument mount points	6		
Optical design	Ritchey-Chrétien		
Night(Day)-time half wavefront error	20 (30) μm		
Mechanical pointing accuracy	2.5 arcsec		
Astronomically-corrected pointing	< 0.5 arcsec		
Solar observations	Yes		
Scan speed	3°/s		
Acceleration	1°/s²		
Elevation (EL) range	20° to 90°		
Azimuthal (AZ) range	<u>+</u> 270°		
Mount type	AZ-EL		
Site location	22°58'52"S, 67°45'56"W		
Site elevation	≈5000 m		

AtLAST technical specifications (see <u>Mroczkowski et al. 2025</u>). The 50-meter primary mirror yields a resolution of 1.5" at 350 μm and 10" at 2 mm. Fast scanning will allow recovery of large angular scales, ensuring high fidelity imaging (see <u>van Marrewijk et al. 2024</u>). Mechanical pointing accuracy is before any astronomical pointing model corrections.

**Summary of Instrumentation:** 

- First light / first generation concepts (see <u>AtLAST Science Cases</u>):
  - Multi-band continuum receivers with >120k detectors spanning 90-950 GHz.
  - Heterodyne receiver focal plane arrays with ~1000 feeds and spectral resolution R~10<sup>6</sup> (< 1 km/s). Science cases will determine bands, though likely 70-116 GHz, 200-400 GHz, 580-720 GHz, and 850-950 GHz with ≥32 GHz instantaneous bandwidth.
  - Direct-detection spectrometers (R~300-1000) covering 200-400 GHz instantaneous bandwidth for extragalactic tomography and cosmology through intensity mapping.
  - Single beam, multi-band receivers for mmVLBI and EHT campaigns.
- Entire volume behind primary will house instrumentation, electronics, and support equipment.
- 6 instrument bays: the 4 smaller instruments will be Cassegrainmounted and can be up to 10 tons and up to 2.6 meters in diameter (red in figure below).
- The 2 larger instruments will be Nasmyth-mounted (blue, below) and up to 30 tons and up to 5 meters in diameter (i.e. 5 times larger than the biggest receivers now fielded).





### Verification with Finite Element Modelling

#### STATIC LOAD CASES IN OVERALL MODEL

#### Example surface plots

M1 Requirement < 200 µm rms under gravity

without active surface



#### 189.4 µm rms @EL=90°





Note: gravity is reproducible and correctable (e.g. GBT 100-m)



BUS adjusted at 43\_ deg Elevation 90\_ deg

.495E-05 .906E-05 .132E-04 .173E-04



21.2.EL09

Elevation wheel structure



### Verification with Finite Element Model

#### ACTIVE MAIN REFLECTOR SEGMENTS



## AtLAST sens\_calc and sens\_calc\_spec

- <u>https://github.com/atlast-telescope/sens\_calc</u> and <u>https://github.com/atlast-telescope/sens\_calc\_spec</u> were developed in 2018 by Sean Bryan, based on his Bolocalc code used for ToITEC (<u>https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018SPIE10708E..0JB/abstract</u>)
- The former is for continuum / multi-chroics, while the latter is more appropriate for direct-detection spectrometers.
- Pros: easy to use if you want mapping speeds for direct-detection instruments (KIDs, bolometers), including intensity mapping or tomography experiments
- Cons: assumes background-limited performance by default, not appropriate for heterodyne receivers.



# AtLAST sens\_calc example

- Takes xls file as input. This contains band centers, bandwidths, detector counts, etc.
- Python code contains detector (e.g. 2 f-λ) spacings, aperture efficiencies, and a factor to conservatively estimate how far from background limited we'll be.
- Output: table with mapping speeds

		AutoSave		N 🖸 🗗 🖊	μ		
Home Insert Draw >> 1							
CI	ipboard	A ~ Font	Alignme	ent Number			
E10 $\checkmark$ $\times$ $\checkmark$ $f_x$							
	A	В	С	D			
1	fmin	fmax	Nwafer	det_spacing_freq			
2	30	54	4	40			
3	66	117	4	120			
4	120	182	4	120			
5	183	252	8	250			
6	252	325	8	250			
7	325	375	18	375			
8	384	422	18	375			
9	595	713	10	640			
10	786	905	6	870			
11	NaN	NaN	50	NaN			

python run\_instrument\_model\_from\_excel.py



Output CSV with:

- Number of 150 mm wafers needed
- number of detectors
- beam size
- detector loading,
- mapping speeds in several units (e.g. deg<sup>2</sup>/mJy<sup>2</sup>/hour),
- and several noise figures (NEP, NEFD)



# AtLAST sensitivity calculator

- Now the standard for AtLAST, and being developed further by WP6
- Pros: easy to use, well tested against e.g. the ALMA sensitivity calculator
- Cons: primarily tuned for single beam, while our focal plane is optimized for many, many beams.
- Currently only uses noise levels appropriate for heterodyne receivers.
- The ability to take input bandwidths in km/s and provide outputs in RJ brightness temperature (much like the ALMA sensitivity calculator does) would be nice.



Funded by the European Union

#### **Sensitivity Calculator**



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant

# AtLAST sensitivity calculator example

- Example: SZ science case calls for a 90 GHz continuum sensitivity (in a 40 GHz bandwidth) of 6.6 microJy/beam in a 4000 deg<sup>2</sup> survey.
- Beam FWHM is 1.13 lambda/D = 15.5", solid angle is pi\*(FWHM/2)<sup>2</sup>/ln(2) = 270 sq. arcsec.
- For a large area map made with many detectors, the detector are never off source (so, we can ignore on/off source efficiencies).
- If we want to finish this survey in 5000 hours, we need ~32k detectors in that band (assuming heterodyne-like noise where bolometers/KIDs excel).



#### Funded by the European Union

#### **Sensitivity Calculator**

Parameter	Value		Allowed range			
Elevation	60	deg	25 - 85			
Observing frequency	90	GHz	35 - 950			
Bandwidth	40	GHz ~	> 0			
H <sub>2</sub> O profile percentile	50		5 - 95			
Number of polarizations	2	~				
Sensitivity	6.6	uJy ~	> 0			
Calculate integration time or sensitivity?						
$\odot$ Integration time $\bigcirc$ Sensitivity			50.1234 min			
Calculate		Reset				

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant

# maria

- Does full 3d modelling of the atmosphere, including the near-field/far-field effects, tested in Morris et al. 2022, 2025 against ACT data. See van Marrewijk et al. 2024 (<u>https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024OJAp....7E.118V/abstract</u>) for information on its application to observation planning.
- Produces time ordered data (TODs) which can then be mapped using builtin linear algebra mapmaker (van Marrewijk et al. 2024) or an external mapmaker (e.g. minkasi).
- Now applied to solar observations. Talk to Mats and see Kirkaune et al. (submitted) soon.
- Pros: realistic atmosphere, realistic format of time ordered data, easy to use jupyter notebooks, and soon will take advantage of GPUs (Wuerzinger et al. in prep)
- Cons: more of a learning curve? Needs more realistic detector and photon bunching noise (like tiempo has, next)





# maria

- Input, noise-free, and realistic output maps shown for 2 example observations
- See maria AtLAST forum talk by Joshiwa van Marrewijk for further details
- Future tutorial to be given by Thomas Morris





Funded by the European Union

# Gateau (see Arend Moerman's poster)

GPU-accelerated (CUDA) simulator for spectroscopic ground-based submm observations of arbitrary astronomical sources. Based on TiEMPO (Huijten et al. 2022).





# Gateau (see Arend Moerman's poster)

Cascaded signal is integrated over spectral response of each channel to calculate received power time-ordered data (TOD) for that channel.

Noise calculation is based on physical principles:

- Photon noise (Shot noise & bunching)
- Quasiparticle generation-recombination noise and added as Gaussian white noise to received power TOD.

Atmospheric fluctuation (~1/f noise) imposed through radiative transfer cascade.

TiEMPO2 can be used to test observation strategies, noise removal techniques, instrument designs, etc.



Noisy TOD produced with TiEMPO2



Funded by the European Union

Slides courtesy Arend Moerman (TU Delft)

# **Optical corrections**

Gallardo et al. 2024

- With a huge (4.7 meter) focal surface, the idea is to break it up into modular cameras. Each camera then has corrective optics to recover a large field of view.
- Lower frequencies (<300 GHz) are shown on right. Left column is *uncorrected*; right column is *corrected*.





# **Optical corrections**

- Higher frequencies (>300 GHz) are shown on right. Left column corrected and diffraction-limit (Strehl=0.8).
- The right column relaxes the requirement for diffraction limited optics (Strehl=0.7).
- Gallardo et al. 2024 noted that this is for 3 lenses, and that additional optimization using 4 lenses can improve this.



